arXiv:math/0607791v1 [math.GM] 31 Jul 2006

Automorphisms and Enumeration of Maps of Cayley Graphs of a Finite Group

Linfan Mao

(Chinese Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Beijing 100080, P.R.China)

Yanpei Liu

(Institute of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing,100044, P.R.China)

Abstract: A map is a connected topological graph Γ cellularly embedded in a surface. In this paper, applying Tutte's algebraic representation of map, new ideas for enumerating non-equivalent orientable or non-orientable maps of graph are presented. By determining automorphisms of maps of Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ with $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma \cong G \times H$ on locally, orientable and non-orientable surfaces, formulae for the number of non-equivalent maps of Γ on surfaces (orientable, non-orientable or locally orientable) are obtained . Meanwhile, using reseults on GRR graph for finite groups, we enumerate the non-equivalent maps of GRR graph of symmetric groups, groups generated by 3 involutions and abelian groups on orientable or non-orientable surfaces.

Key words: embedding, map, finite group, Cayley graph, graphical regular representation, automorphism group, Burnside Lemma.

Classification: AMS(1991) 05C10,05C25,05C30

1. Introduction

Maps originate from the decomposition of surfaces. A typical example in this field is the *Heawood map coloring theorem.* Combinatorially, a *map* is a connected topological graph Γ cellularly embedded in a surface. Motivated by the *four color problem*, the enumeration of maps on surfaces, especially, the planar rooted maps, has been intensively investigated by many researchers after the Tutte's pioneer work in 1962 (see [10]). By using the automorphisms of the sphere, Liskovets gives an enumerative scheme for unrooted planar maps[8]. Liskovets, Walsh and Liskovets got many enumeration results for *general planar maps, regular planar maps, Eulerian planar maps, self-dual planar maps* and 2-*connected planar maps*, etc [7]−[9]. Applying the well-known Burnside Lemma in permutation groups and the Edmonds embedding scheme[2], Biggs and White presented a formula for enumerating the non-equivalent maps (also a kind of unrooted maps) of a graph on orientable surfaces(see [1],[14],[19]), which has been successfully used for the complete graphs, wheels and complete bipartite graphs by determining the fix set $F_v(\alpha)$ for each vertex v and automorphism α of a graph[14]−[15],[19].

Notice that Biggs and White's formula can be only used for orientable surfaces. For counting non-orientable maps of graphs, new mechanism should be devised. In 1973,Tutte presented an algebraic representation for maps on locally orientable surface([10],[17]−[18]). Applying the Tutte's map representation, a general scheme for enumerating the non-equivalent maps of a graph on surfaces can be established (Lemma 3.1 in section 3), which can be used for orientable or non-orientable surfaces. This enumeration scheme has been used to enumerate complete maps on surfaces (orientable,non-orientable or locally orientable) by determining all orientation-preserving automorphisms of maps of a complete graph[13]. In orientable case, result is the same as in [14]. The approach of counting orbits under the action of a permutation group is also used to enumerate the rooted maps and non-congruent embeddings of a graph[6],[11],[16]. Notice that an algebraic approach for construction non-hamiltonian cubic maps on every surface is presented in [12]. The main purpose of this paper is to enumerate the non-equivalent maps of Cayley graph Γ of a finite group G satisfying $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H \cong G \times H$ on orientable, non-orientable or locally orientable surfaces, where H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$. For this objective, we get all orientation-preserving automorphisms of maps of Γ in the Section 2. The scheme for enumerating non-equivalent maps of a graph is re-established in Section 3. Using this scheme, results for non-equivalent maps of Cayley graphs are obtained. For concrete examples, in Section 4, we calculate the numbers of non-equivalent maps of GRR graphs for symmetric groups, groups generated by 3 involutions and abelian groups. Terminologies and notations used in this paper are standard. Some of them are mentioned in the following.

All surfaces are 2-dimensional compact closed manifolds without boundary, graphs are connected and groups are finite in the context.

For a finite group G, choose a subset $S \subset G$ such that $S^{-1} = S$ and $1_G \notin S$, the Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ of G with respect to S is defined as follows:

$V(\Gamma) = G$;

$E(\Gamma) = \{(g, sg) | g \in G, s \in S\}$.

It has been shown that Γ is transitive, the right regular representation $R(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ and it is connected if and only if $G = \langle S \rangle$. If there exists a *Cayley set* S such that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G : S)) = R(G) \cong G$, then G is called to have a *graphical regular representation*, abbreviated to GRR and say $\mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ is the GRR graph of the finite group G. Notice that which groups have GRR are completely determined (see [4] − [5] and [21] for details).

A *map* $M = (\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P})$ is defined] to be a permutation $\mathcal{P}$ acting on $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$ of a disjoint union of quadricells Kx of $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $K = \{1, \alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta\}$ is the Klein group, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) for $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$, there does not exist an integer k such that $\mathcal{P}^k x = \alpha x$;

(ii) $\alpha\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^{-1}\alpha$;

(iii) the group $\Psi_J = \langle \alpha, \beta, \mathcal{P} \rangle$ is transitive on $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$.

According to the condition (ii), the vertices of a map are defined to be the pairs of conjugate of $\mathcal{P}$ action on $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and edges the orbits of K on $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$. For example, $\{x, \alpha x, \beta x, \alpha\beta x\}$ is an edge for $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$ of M. Geometrically, any map M is an embedding of a graph Γ on a surface (see also [10], $[17]-[18]$), denoted by $M = M(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(M)$. The graph Γ is called the underlying graph. If $r \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is marked beforehand, then M is called a *rooted map*, denoted by M^r.

For example, the graph K_4 on the tours with one face length 4 and another 8 shown in Fig. 1,

Fig.1

can be algebraically represented as follows:

A map $(\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P})$ *with* $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{x, y, z, u, v, w, \alpha x, \alpha y, \alpha z, \alpha u, \alpha v, \alpha w, \beta x, \beta y, \beta z, \beta u, \beta v, \beta w, \alpha\beta x, \alpha\beta y, \alpha\beta z, \alpha\beta u, \alpha\beta v, \alpha\beta w\}$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= (x, y, z)(\alpha\beta x, u, w)(\alpha\beta z, \alpha\beta u, v)(\alpha\beta y, \alpha\beta v, \alpha\beta w)\\ &\times (\alpha x, \alpha z, \alpha y)(\beta x, \alpha w, \alpha u)(\beta z, \alpha v, \beta u)(\beta y, \beta w, \beta v)\end{aligned}$$

The four vertices of this map are $\{(x, y, z), (\alpha x, \alpha z, \alpha y)\}$, $\{(\alpha\beta x, u, w), (\beta x, \alpha w, \alpha u)\}$, $\{(\alpha\beta z, \alpha\beta u, v), (\beta z, \alpha v, \beta u)\}$ and $\{(\alpha\beta y, \alpha\beta v, \alpha\beta w), (\beta y, \beta w, \beta v)\}$ and six edges are $\{e, \alpha e, \beta e, \alpha\beta e\}$ for $\forall e \in \{x, y, z, u, v, w\}$.

Two maps $M_1 = (\mathcal{X}^1_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P}_1)$ and $M_2 = (\mathcal{X}^2_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P}_2)$ are called to be *isomorphic* if there exists a bijection $\tau : \mathcal{X}^1_{\alpha,\beta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that for $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$,$\tau\alpha(x) = \alpha\tau(x)$, $\tau\beta(x) = \beta\tau(x)$ and $\tau\mathcal{P}_1(x) = \mathcal{P}_2\tau(x)$ and τ is called an *isomorphism* between them. Similarly, two maps M_1, M_2 are called to be *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism ξ between M_1 and M_2 such that for $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\tau\mathcal{P}_1(x) \neq \mathcal{P}_2^{-1}\tau(x)$. Call ξ an *equivalence* between M_1 and M_2. If $M_1 = M_2 = M$, then an isomorphism or an equivalence between M_1 and M_2 is called an automorphism or an orientation-preserving automorphism of M. Certainly, an orientation-preserving automorphism of a map is an automorphism of map preserving the orientation on this map.

All automorphisms or orientation-preserving automorphisms of a map M form groups, called *automorphism group* or *orientation-preserving automorphism group* of M and denoted by Aut$M$ or $\mathrm{Aut_O M}$, respectively. Similarly, two rooted maps M_1^r and M_2^r are said to be *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism θ between them such that $\theta(r_1) = r_2$, where r_1, r_2 are the roots of M_1^r and M_2^r, respectively and denote the *automorphism group* of M^r by AutM^r. It has been known that AutM^r is the trivial group.

Now let Γ be a connected graph. The notations $\mathcal{E}^O(\Gamma), \mathcal{E}^N(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{E}^L(\Gamma)$ denote the embeddings of Γ on the orientable surfaces, non-orientable surfaces and locally orientable surfaces, $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ and AutΓ denote the set of non-isomorphic maps underlying a graph Γ and its automorphism group, respectively.

Terminologies and notations not defined here can be seen in [10] for maps and graphs and in [1] and [20] for groups.

Notice that the *equivalence* and *isomorphism* for maps are two different concepts, for example, map $M = (\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P})$ is always isomorphic to its mirror map $M^{-1} = (\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P}^{-1})$, but M_1 must not be equivalent to its mirror M^{-1}. We establish an approach for calculating non-equivalent maps underlying a graph and concrete results in the sequel sections.

2. Determining orientation-preserving automorphisms of maps of Cayley graphs

For $C = \{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l), (\alpha x_l, \alpha x_{l-1}, \cdots, \alpha x_1)\}$, the permutation $\Theta = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l)(\alpha x_l, \alpha x_{l-1}, \cdots, \alpha x_1)$ is called a pair permutation. Denote by $\{C\}$ the set $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l, \alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \cdots, \alpha x_l\}$ and $g\mid_{\Omega_1}$ the constraint of permutation g action on Ω_1 for $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$. Then we get the following result.

Lemma 2.1 *Let* Γ *be a connected graph. Then*

(*i*) *For any map* $M \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$*, if* $\tau \in \mathrm{AutM}$*, then* $\tau\mid_{V(\Gamma)} \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$;

(*ii*) *For any two maps* M_1, M_2 *underlying the graph* Γ*, if* θ *is an isomorphism mapping* M_1 *to* M_2*, then* $\theta\mid_{V(\Gamma)} \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$.

Proof According to the Tutte's algebraic representation for maps, we can assume that $M = (\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P})$ with $\mathcal{X} = E(\Gamma)$. For $\forall x, y \in V(M)$, we know that

$$x = \{(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_s), (\alpha e_s, \alpha e_{s-1}, \cdots, \alpha e_1)\};$$

$$y = \{(e^1, e^2, \cdots, e^t), (\alpha e^t, \alpha e^{t-1}, \cdots, \alpha e^1)\}.$$

Now if $e = xy \in E(G)$, there must be two integers i, j, such that $e_i = \beta e^j = e$ or $\beta e_i = e_j = e$. Whence, we get that

(*i*) if $\tau \in \mathrm{AutM}$, then $V(\Gamma) = V(M) = V^\tau(M) = V^\tau(\Gamma)$ and

$$x^{\tau}=\{(\tau(e_1),\tau(e_2),\cdots,\tau(e_s)),(\alpha\tau(e_s),\alpha\tau(e_{s-1}),\cdots,\alpha\tau(e_1))\};$$

$$y^{\tau}=\{(\tau(e^1),\tau(e^2),\cdots,\tau(e^t)),(\alpha\tau(e^t),\alpha\tau(e^{t-1}),\cdots,\alpha\tau(e^1))\}.$$

Therefore,

$$e^{\tau}\in\{x^{\tau}\}\cap\beta\{y^{\tau}\}\quad\text{or}\quad e^{\tau}\in\beta\{x^{\tau}\}\cap\{y^{\tau}\}.$$

Whence, $x^{\tau}y^{\tau}\in E(\Gamma)$ and $\tau\mid_{V(\Gamma)}\in\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$.

(ii) Similarly, if $\theta: M_1\longrightarrow M_2$ is an isomorphism, then $\theta: V(\Gamma)=V(M_1)\longrightarrow V(M_2)=V(\Gamma)$ and

$$e^{\theta}\in\{x^{\theta}\}\cap\beta\{y^{\theta}\}\quad\text{or}\quad e^{\theta}\in\beta\{x^{\theta}\}\cap\{y^{\theta}\}$$

Whence we get that

$$e^{\theta}=x^{\theta}y^{\theta}\in E(\Gamma)\quad\text{and}\quad\theta\mid_{V(\Gamma)}\in\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma.\qquad\natural$$

Lemma 2.2 *For* $\forall g\in\mathrm{AutM},\forall x\in\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}$ *of a map* M,

(i) $|x^{\mathrm{AutM}}|=|\mathrm{AutM}|$;

(ii) $|x^{\prec g\succ}|=o(g)$,

where, $o(g)$ *denotes the order of* g.

Proof For any subgroup $H\prec\mathrm{AutM}$, we know that $|H|=|x^H||H_x|$. Since $H_x\prec\mathrm{AutM}^x$ by definition, where M^x is the rooted map with root x, and AutM^x is trivial, we know that $|H_x|=1$. Whence, $|x^H|=|H|$. Now take $H=\mathrm{AutM}$ or $\langle g\rangle$, we get the assertions (i) and (ii). $\natural$

For $\forall g\in\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, $M=(\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta},\mathcal{P})\in\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$, define an extending action of g on M by

$$g^*=g\mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}:\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}\longrightarrow\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta},$$

such that $M^{g^*}=gMg^{-1}$ and $g\alpha=\alpha g, g\beta=\beta g$. A permutation p on set Ω is called semi-regular if all of its orbits have the same length. Whence, an automorphism of a map is semi-regular. The next result is followed by Lemma 2.1 and the definition of extending action of elements in $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ gives a necessary and sufficient condition for an automorphism of a map to be an orientation-preserving automorphism of this map.

Theorem 2.1 *For a connected graph* Γ*, an automorphism* ξ^* *of map* M *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of map underlying* Γ *if and only if there exists an element* $\xi\in\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ *such that* $\xi^*=\xi\mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$.

Now for a finite group G, let $\Gamma=\mathrm{Cay}(G:S)$ be a connected Cayley graph respect to S. Then its edge set is $\{(g,sg)|\forall g\in G,\forall s\in S\}$. For convenience, we use

g^{sg} denoting an edge (g, sg) in the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$. Then its quadricell of this edge can be represented by $\{g^{sg+}, g^{sg-}, (sg)^{g+}, (sg)^{g-}\}$ and

$$\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Gamma) = \{g^{sg+} | \forall g \in G, \forall s \in S\} \cup \{g^{sg-} | \forall g \in G, \forall s \in S\};$$

$$\alpha = \prod_{g \in G, s \in S} (g^{sg+}, g^{sg-});$$

$$\beta = \prod_{g \in G, s \in S} (g^{sg+}, (sg)^{g+})(g^{sg-}, (sg)^{g-}).$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.2 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a connected Cayley graph with* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$*. Then for* $\forall \theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$*, the extending action* $\theta \mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$ *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of a map in* $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ *on surfaces.*

Proof The proof is divided into two parts. First, we prove each automorphism of the graph Γ is semi-regular and second, construct a stable embedding of Γ for $\forall \theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$.

(i) For $\forall g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, since $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$, there must exist $\gamma \in R(G), \delta \in H$ such that $g = \gamma\delta = \delta\gamma$. Now for $\forall x \in G$, the action of elements in $\langle g \rangle$ on x are as follows.

$x^g = (x^\delta)^\gamma = x^\delta \gamma;$

$x^{g^2} = (x^{\delta^2})^{\gamma^2} = x^{\delta^2} \gamma^2;$

$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots;$

$x^{g^n} = (x^{\delta^n})^{\gamma^n} = x^{\delta^n} \gamma^n;$

$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots.$

Therefore, the orbit of $\langle g \rangle$ acting on x is

$$x^{\langle g \rangle} = (x, x^\delta \gamma, x^{\delta^2} \gamma^2, \cdots, x^{\delta^n} \gamma^n, \cdots).$$

That is, for $\forall x \in G$, $|x^{\langle g \rangle}| = [o(\delta), o(\gamma)]$. Whence, g is semi-regular.

(ii) Assume that the automorphism θ of Γ is

$$\theta = (a, b, \cdots, c) \cdots (g, h, \cdots, k) \cdots (x, y, \cdots, z),$$

where the length of each cycle is $\kappa = o(g)$, $G = \{a, b, \cdots, c, \cdots, g, h, \cdots, k, \cdots, x, y, \cdots, z\}$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_t\} \subset G$. Denote by $T = \{a, \cdots, g, \cdots, x\}$ the representation set of each cycle in θ. We construct a map $M = (\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{P})$ underlying Γ with

$$\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Gamma) = \{g^{sg+} | \forall g \in G, \forall s \in S\} \cup \{g^{sg-} | \forall g \in G, \forall s \in S\};$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \prod_{g \in T} \prod_{x \in C(g)} (C_x)(\alpha C_x^{-1} \alpha^{-1}),$$

where $C(g)$ denotes the cycle containing g and let $x = \theta^f(g)$, then

$$C_x = (\theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_1 g+)}, \theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_2 g+)}, \cdots, \theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_t g+)})$$

and

$$\alpha C_x^{-1}\alpha^{-1} = (\alpha\theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_t g-)}, \alpha\theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_{t-1} g-)}, \cdots, \alpha\theta^f(g)^{\theta^f(s_1 g-)}).$$

It is clear that $M = \theta M \theta^{-1}$. According to Theorem 2.1, we know that $\theta \mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$ is an orientation-preserving automorphism of map M.

Combining (i) with (ii), the proof is complete. $\natural$

According to the *Rotation Embedding Scheme* for orientable embeddings of a graph formalized by Edmonds in [2], each orientable complete map is just the case of eliminating the signs "+, -" in our representation of maps. Whence,we get the following result for orientable maps underlying a Cayley graph of a finite group.

Theorem 2.3 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a connected Cayley graph with* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$. *Then for* $\forall\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, *the extending action* $\theta \mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$ *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of a map in* $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ *on orientable surfaces.*

Notices that a GRR graph Γ of a finite group G satisfies $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G)$. Since $R(G) \cong R(G) \times \{1_{\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma}\}$, by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we get all orientation-preserving automorphisms of maps of GRR graphs of a finite group as follows.

Corollary 2.1 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a connected GRR graph of a finite group* G. *Then for* $\forall\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, *the extending action* $\theta \mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$ *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of a map in* $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ *on locally orientable surfaces.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a connected GRR of a finite group* G. *Then for* $\forall\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, *the extending action* $\theta \mid^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$ *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of a map in* $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ *on orientable surfaces.*

3. The enumeration of non-equivalent maps of Cayley graphs

According to Theorem 2.1, we can get a general scheme for enumerating the non-equivalent maps of a graph Γ on surfaces.

Lemma 3.1 *For any connected graph* Γ, *let* $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^L(\Gamma)$, *then the number* $n(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ *of non-equivalent maps in* $\mathcal{E}$ *is*

$$n(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi(g)|,$$

where, $\Phi(g) = \{\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{E}$ *and* $\mathcal{P}^g = \mathcal{P}\}$.

Proof According to Theorem 2.1, two maps $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an automorphism $g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ such that $M_1^{g^*} = M_2$, where,$g^* = g\ |^{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}}$. Whence, all non-equivalent maps in $\mathcal{E}$ are just the representations of the orbits in $\mathcal{E}$ under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$. By the Burnside Lemma, the number of non-equivalent maps in $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$n(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi(g)|. \qquad \natural$$

Corollary 3.1 *The numbers of non-equivalent maps in* $\mathcal{E}^O(\Gamma), \mathcal{E}^N(\Gamma)$ *and* $\mathcal{E}^L(\Gamma)$ *are*

$$n(\mathcal{E}^O(\Gamma), \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi^O(g)|; \qquad (3.1)$$

$$n(\mathcal{E}^N(\Gamma), \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi^N(g)|; \qquad (3.2)$$

$$n(\mathcal{E}^L(\Gamma), \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi^L(g)|, \qquad (3.3)$$

where, $\Phi^O(g) = \{\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{E}^O(\Gamma)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}^g = \mathcal{P}\}$, $\Phi^N(g) = \{\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{E}^N(\Gamma)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}^g = \mathcal{P}\}$, $\Phi^L(g) = \{\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{E}^L(\Gamma)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}^g = \mathcal{P}\}$.

Corollary 3.2 *In formula (3.1)-(3.3),* $|\Phi(g)| \neq 0$ *if, and only if* g *is an orientation-preserving automorphism of map of graph* Γ *on an orientable, non-orientable or locally orientable surface.*

The formula (3.1) is obtained by Biggs and White in [1]. Applying Theorems $2.2 - 2.3$ and the formulae $(3.1) - (3.3)$, we can enumerate the non-equivalent maps underlying a Cayley graph Γ of a finite group G satisfying $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$ on orientable surfaces, non-orientable surfaces and locally orientable surfaces.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a connected Cayley graph with* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$. *Then the number* $n^T_{\mathcal{M}}(G : S)$ *of non-equivalent maps underlying* Γ *on locally orientable surfaces is*

$$n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(G : S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_\xi| 2^{\alpha(S,\xi)} (|S| - 1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}},$$

where O_G *denotes the representation set of conjugate class of* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, $\mathcal{E}_\xi$ *the conjugate class in* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ *containing* ξ *and*

$$\alpha(S, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G||S| - 2|G|}{2o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Theta \\ \frac{|G||S| + 2l - 2|G|}{2o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

where, $\Theta = \{\xi | o(\xi) \equiv 1(mod2) \vee o(\xi) \equiv 0(mod2), \nexists s \in S, t \in G$ *such that* $s = t^{\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}}\}$, $\Delta = \{\xi | o(\xi) \equiv 0(mod2) \wedge \exists s_i \in S, t_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq l(\xi), l(\xi) \equiv 0(mod\frac{o(\xi)}{2})$ *such that* $s_i = t_i^{\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}}\}$.

Proof Notice that $\Phi^L(\xi)$ is a class function on $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$. According to Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 3.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(G:S) &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma|} \times \sum_{\xi \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma} |\Phi^L(\xi)| \\ &= \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in R(G) \times H} |\Phi^L(g)|. \qquad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

Since for $\forall \xi = (\mu, \nu) \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, ξ is semi-regular, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\xi = (a, b, \cdots, c) \cdots (g, h, \cdots, k) \cdots (x, y, \cdots, z),$$

where the length of each cycle is $o(\xi) = [o(\mu), o(\nu)]$,

$$\mathcal{P} = \prod_{g \in T} \prod_{x \in C(g)} (C_x)(\alpha C_x^{-1}),$$

being a map underlying the graph Γ and stable under the action of ξ, $C(g)$ denotes the cycle containing g and T is the representation set of cycles in ξ. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k\}$ and $x = \xi^f(g)$, then

$$C_x = (\xi^f(g)^{\xi^f(s_1 g \nu_1)}, \xi^f(g)^{\xi^f(s_2 g \nu_2)}, \cdots, \xi^f(g)^{\xi^f(s_t g \nu_k)}), \qquad (3.5)$$

with $\nu_i \in \{+, -\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Notice that the quadricell adjacent to the vertex a can make $2^{|S|-1}(|S|-1)!$ pair permutations, and for each chosen pair permutation, the pair permutations adjacent to the vertex $x, x \in C(a)$ are uniquely determined by (3.5) since $\mathcal{P}$ is stable under the action of ξ.

Similarly, for each given pair permutation adjacent to a vertex $u \in T$, the pair permutations adjacent to the vertices $v, v \in C(u)$ are also uniquely determined by (3.5) since $\mathcal{P}$ is stable under the action of ξ.

Notice that any non-orientable embedding can be obtained by exchanging some x with $\alpha x, x \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha,\beta}(M)$ in an orientable embedding M underlying Γ. Now for an orientable embedding M_1 of Γ, all the induced embeddings by exchanging some edge's two sides and retaining the others unchanged in M_1 are the same as M_1 by the definition of embedding. Therefore, the number of different stable maps under the action of ξ gotten by exchanging x and αx in M_1 for $x \in U, U \subset \mathcal{X}_\beta$, where $\mathcal{X}_\beta = \bigcup\limits_{x \in E(\Gamma)} \{x, \beta x\}$, is $2^{\xi(\varepsilon) - \frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}}$, where $\xi(\varepsilon)$ is the number of orbits of $E(\Gamma)$ under the action of ξ, and we subtract $\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}$ because we can choose $a^{b+}, \cdots, g^{a+}, \cdots, x^{a+}$ first in our enumeration.

Since the length of each orbit under the action of ξ is $o(\xi)$ for $\forall e \in E(\Gamma)$ if $o(\xi) \equiv 1(mod 2)$ or $o(\xi) \equiv 0(mod 2)$ but there are not $s \in S, t \in G$ such that $s = t^{\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}}$ and is $\frac{o(\xi)}{2}$ for each edge $t_i^{s_i t_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq l(\xi)$, if $o(\xi) \equiv 0(mod 2)$ and there are $s_i \in S, t_i \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq l(\xi)$, such that $s_i = t_i^{\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}}$ (Notice that there must be $l \equiv 0(mod \frac{o(\xi)}{2})$ because ξ is an automorphism of the graph Γ) or $o(\xi)$ for all other edges. Whence, we get that

$$\xi(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon(\Gamma)}{o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Theta \\ \frac{\varepsilon(\Gamma) - l(\xi)}{o(\xi)} + \frac{2l(\xi)}{o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

Now for $\forall \pi \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, since $\theta = \pi \xi \pi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, we know that $\theta(\varepsilon) = \xi(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, we get that

$$\alpha(S, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G||S| - 2|G|}{2o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Theta \\ \frac{|G||S| + 2l(\xi) - 2|G|}{2o(\xi)}, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\Phi^T(\xi)| = 2^{\alpha(S,\xi)}(|S| - 1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}}. \qquad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.6), we get that

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^T(G : S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_\xi| 2^{\alpha(S,\xi)}(|S| - 1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}},$$

and the proof is complete. ♮

According to the formula (3.1) and Theorem 2.3, we also get the number $n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G : S)$ of non-equivalent maps of a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ on orientable surfaces.

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$ *be a Cayley graph with* $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$. *Then the number* $n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G : S)$ *of non-equivalent maps underlying* Γ *on orientable surfaces is*

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G : S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_\xi| (|S| - 1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}},$$

where,the means of notations $\mathcal{E}_\xi, O_G$ *are the same as in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof By Corollary 3.1, we know that

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G : S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \times \sum_{\xi \in R(G) \times H} |\Phi^O(\xi)|.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 by applying Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 3.1, we get that for $\forall \xi \in R(G) \times H$,

$$|\Phi^O(\xi)| = (|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G:S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_\xi|(|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}}. \qquad \natural$$

Notice that for a given Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G:S)$ of a finite group G, $n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G:S)+ n_{\mathcal{M}}^N(G:S) = n_{\mathcal{M}}^L(G:S)$. Whence, we get the number of non-equivalent maps underlying a graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G:S)$ on non-orientable surfaces.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G:S)$ be a Cayley graph with $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G) \times H$. Then the number $n_{\mathcal{M}}^N(G:S)$ of non-equivalent maps underlying Γ on non-orientable surfaces is*

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^N(G:S) = \frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{\xi \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_\xi|(2^{\alpha(S,\xi)}-1)(|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(\xi)}},$$

where O_G denotes the representation set of conjugate class of $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$, $\mathcal{E}_\xi$ the conjugate class in $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma$ containing ξ and $\alpha(S,\xi)$ is the same as in Theorem 3.1.

Since $R(G) \cong R(G) \times \{1_{\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma}\}$ and the condition $s \in S, t \in G$ such that $s = t^{\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}}$ turns to $s = t\xi^{\frac{o(\xi)}{2}}t^{-1}$ when $\mathrm{Aut}\Gamma = R(G)$, we get the number of non-equivalent maps underlying a GRR graph of a finite group by Theorems 3.1 − 3.3 as follows.

Corollary 3.3 *Let G be a finite group with a GRR graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G:S)$. Then the numbers of non-equivalent maps underlying Γ on locally orientable, orientable and non-orientable surfaces are respective*

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^L(G:S) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_g| 2^{\alpha_1(S,g)}(|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(g)}},$$

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^O(G:S) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_g|(|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(g)}}$$

and

$$n_{\mathcal{M}}^N(G:S) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_g|(2^{\alpha_1(S,g)}-1)(|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(g)}},$$

where O_G denotes the representation set of conjugate class of G, $\mathcal{E}_g$ the conjugate class in G containing g and

$$\alpha_1(S,g) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G||S|-2|G|}{2o(g)}, & \text{if} \quad g \in \Theta' \\ \frac{|G||S|+2l(g)-2|G|}{2o(g)}, & \text{if} \quad g \in \Delta'. \end{cases}$$

where, $\Theta' = \{g|o(g) \equiv 1(mod2) \vee o(g) \equiv 0(mod2), \forall s \in S, s \notin \mathcal{E}_{g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}}}\}$ and $\Delta' = \{g|o(g) \equiv 0(mod2), \exists t_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq l(g), l(g) \equiv 0(mod\frac{o(g)}{2})$ such that $t_i g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}} t_i^{-1} \in S\}$.

Especially, if the group G has odd order, then we get the following enumeration result for maps underlying a GRR graph of G.

Corollary 3.4 *Let G be a finite group of odd order with a GRR graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G : S)$. Then the number $n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(G : S)$ of non-equivalent maps of graph Γ on surfaces is*

$$n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(G : S) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in O_G} |\mathcal{E}_g| 2^{\frac{|G||S|-2|G|}{2o(g)}} (|S|-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(g)}}.$$

4. Examples and calculation for GRR graphs

Hetze and Godsil investigated GRR for solvable, non-solvable finite groups, respectively. They proved[4],[21] that every group has GRR unless it belongs to one of the following groups:

(a) abelian groups of exponent greater than 2;
(b) generalized dicyclic groups;
(c) thirteen "exceptional" groups:
(1) Z_2^2, Z_2^3, Z_2^4;
(2) D_6, D_8, D_{10};
(3) A_4;
(4) $\langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab \rangle$;
(5) $\langle a, b | a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = b^5 \rangle$;
(6) $\langle a, b, c | a^3 = c^3 = b^2 = 1, ac = ca, (ab)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1 \rangle$;
(7) $\langle a, b, c | a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \rangle$;
(8) $Q_8 \times Z_3, Q_8 \times Z_4$.

Based on results in previous section, the constructions given in [4] $-$ [5] and Corollary 3.2, we give some calculations for the numbers of non-equivalent maps underlying a GRR graph on surfaces for some special groups.

Calculation 4.1 *Symmetric group Σ_n*

Using the notation $(\bar{k})$ denotes a partition of the integer n: $(\bar{k}) = k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)$ such that $1k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + nk_n = n$ and $lcm(\bar{k})$ the least common multiple of the integers 1(k_1 times), 2(k_2 times), $\cdots$, n(k_n times), i.e, $lcm(\bar{k}) = [1(k_1\text{times}), 2(k_2\text{times}), \cdots, n(k_n\text{times})]$. Godsil proved that[5] *every symmetric group Σ_n with $n \geq 19$ has a cubic GRR with $S = \{x, y, y^{-1}\}$, where $x^2 = y^3 = e$.* Since $|\Sigma_n| = n!$, we get that the numbers of non-equivalent maps underlying a cubic GRR graph of Σ_n are

$$n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma_n : S) = \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{g\in\Sigma_n} 2^{\alpha_1(S,g)} \times 2!^{\frac{|\Sigma_n|}{o(g)}} = \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{g\in\Sigma_n} 2^{\alpha(S,g)+\frac{n!}{o(g)}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n^O_{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma_n : S) &= \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{g\in\Sigma_n} 2!^{\frac{|\Sigma_n|}{o(g)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{(\bar{k})} \frac{n!}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} i^{k_i} k_i!} \times 2^{\frac{n!}{lcm(\bar{k})}} = \sum_{(\bar{k})} \frac{2^{\frac{n!}{lcm(\bar{k})}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} i^{k_i} k_i!}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$n^N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma_n : S) = \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{g\in\Sigma_n} 2^{\frac{n!}{o(g)}}(2^{\alpha_1(S,g)} - 1)$$

For the case $n = 6m + 1$, we know that[5] $x = b_1$ if $m \equiv 1(mod2)$ and $x = b_2$ if $m \equiv 0(mod2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= (1,4)(2,n)(3,n-1)(n-6,n-3)(n-5,n-2) \\ &\times \prod_{r=1}^{m-2}(6r,6r+3)(6r+1,6r+4)(6r+2,6r+5) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_2 = b_1(n-12, n-9).$$

Notice that $b_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{[1^3 2^{3m-1}]}$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{[1^5 2^{3m-2}]}$. We define the sets A_1, B_1, A_2 and B_2 as follows.

$$A_1 = \{g | g \in \Sigma_n, o(g) \equiv 1(mod2) \quad \text{or} \quad o(g) \equiv 0(mod2) \quad \text{but} \quad g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}} \not\in \mathcal{E}_{[1^3 2^{3m-1}]}\},$$

$$B_1 = \{g | g \in \Sigma_n, o(g) \equiv 0(mod2) \quad \text{but} \quad g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}} \not\in \mathcal{E}_{[1^3 2^{3m-1}]}\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{g | g \in \Sigma_n, o(g) \equiv 1(mod2) \quad \text{or} \quad o(g) \equiv 0(mod2) \quad \text{but} \quad g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}} \not\in \mathcal{E}_{[1^5 2^{3m-2}]}\},$$

$$B_2 = \{g | g \in \Sigma_n, o(g) \equiv 0(mod2) \quad \text{but} \quad g^{\frac{o(g)}{2}} \not\in \mathcal{E}_{[1^5 2^{3m-2}]}\}.$$

For $\forall\theta \in \Sigma_n$, if $\zeta \in A_i$ or B_i, $i = 1$ or 2, it is clear that $\theta\zeta\theta^{-1} \in A_i$ or B_i. Whence, $\mathcal{E}_\zeta \subset A_i$ or B_i. Now calculation shows that

$$l(g) = \begin{cases} 3!(n-3)!!, & \text{if} \quad g \in \mathcal{E}_{[1^3 2^{3m-1}]} \\ 5!(n-2)!!, & \text{if} \quad g \in \mathcal{E}_{[1^5 2^{3m-2}]} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma_n : S)|_{m \equiv 1 (mod 2)} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{g \in \Sigma_n} 2^{\alpha_1(S,g) + \frac{n!}{o(g)}}}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{(\bar{k})} \frac{n!}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} i^{k_i} k_i!} \times 2^{\alpha_1(S,(\bar{k})) + \frac{n!}{lcm(\bar{k})}} \\ &= \sum_{(\bar{k})} \frac{2^{\alpha_1(S,(\bar{k})) + \frac{n!}{lcm(\bar{k})}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} i^{k_i} k_i!}, \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\alpha_1(S, (\bar{k})) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{2 \cdot lcm(\bar{k})}, & \text{if} \quad \mathcal{E}_{(\bar{k})} \subset A_1 \\ \frac{n! + 12(n-3)!!}{2 \cdot lcm(\bar{k})}, & \text{if} \quad \mathcal{E}_{(\bar{k})} \subset B_1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma_n : S)|_{m \equiv 0 (mod 2)} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{g \in \Sigma_n} 2^{\alpha'_1(S,g) + \frac{n!}{o(g)}}}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{(\bar{k})} \frac{2^{\alpha'_1(S,(\bar{k})) + \frac{n!}{lcm(\bar{k})}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} i^{k_i} k_i!}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha'_1(S, (\bar{k})) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{2 \cdot lcm(\bar{k})}, & \text{if} \quad \mathcal{E}_{(\bar{k})} \subset A_2 \\ \frac{n! + 240(n-5)!!}{2 \cdot lcm(\bar{k})}, & \text{if} \quad \mathcal{E}_{(\bar{k})} \subset B_2. \end{cases}$$

Calculation 4.2 *Group generated by 3 involutions*

Let $G = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = e \rangle$ be a finite group of order n. In [5], Godsil proved that if $(\text{Aut}G)_S = e$, where $S = \{a, b, c\}$, then G has a GRR Cay$(G : S)$. Since any element of order 2 must has the form $txt^{-1}, t \in G$ and $x = a, b$ or c. We assume that for $\forall t \in G, tx \neq xt$, for $x = a, b, c$. Then for $\forall g \in G$,

$$l(g)=\begin{cases} n, & \text{if} \quad o(g)\equiv 0(mod2)\\ 0, & \text{if} \quad o(g)\equiv 1(mod2).\end{cases}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\alpha_1(S,g)=\begin{cases} \frac{n}{2o(g)}, & \text{if} \quad o(g)\equiv 1(mod2)\\ \frac{3n}{2o(g)}, & \text{if} \quad o(g)\equiv 0(mod2),\end{cases}$$

$$n^L_{\mathcal{M}}(G:S)=\frac{\sum\limits_{o(g)\equiv 1(mod2)} 2^{\frac{3n}{2o(g)}}+\sum\limits_{o(g)\equiv 0(mod2)} 2^{\frac{5n}{2o(g)}}}{n},$$

$$n^O_{\mathcal{M}}(G:S)=\frac{\sum\limits_{g\in G} 2^{\frac{n}{o(g)}}}{n}$$

and

$$n^N_{\mathcal{M}}(G:S)=\frac{\sum\limits_{o(g)\equiv 1(mod2)} 2^{\frac{n}{o(g)}}(2^{\frac{n}{2o(g)}}-1)+\sum\limits_{o(g)\equiv 0(mod2)} 2^{\frac{n}{o(g)}}(2^{\frac{3n}{2o(g)}}-1)}{n}$$

Calculation 4.3 *Abelian group*

Let $k=|S|$. It has been proved that an abelian group G has GRR if and only if $G=(Z_2)^n$ for $n=1$ or $n\geq 5$. Now for the abelian group $G=(Z_2)^n=\langle a\rangle\times\langle b\rangle\times\cdots\times\langle c\rangle$, every element in G has order 2. Calculation shows that

$$l(g)=\begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if} \quad g\in S\\ 0, & \text{if} \quad g\notin S.\end{cases}$$

Whence, we get that

$$\alpha_1(S,g)=\begin{cases} (k-2)2^{n-2}, & \text{if} \quad g\notin S\\ k2^{n-2}, & \text{if} \quad g\in S.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, the numbers of non-equivalent maps underlying a GRR graph of $(Z_2)^n$ on locally orienatble or orientable surfaces are

$$\begin{aligned} n^L_{\mathcal{M}}((Z_2)^n:S) &= \frac{1}{|G|}\times\sum_{g\in(Z_2)^n} 2^{\alpha_1(S,g)}(k-1)!^{\frac{|G|}{o(g)}}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}\times(\sum_{g\in S} 2^{k2^{n-2}}(k-1)!^{2^{n-1}}+\sum_{g\notin S,g\neq e} 2^{(k-2)2^{n-2}}(k-1)!^{2^{n-1}})\\ &= \frac{2^{k2^{n-2}}k(k-1)!^{2^{n-1}}+(2^n-k-1)2^{(k-2)2^{n-2}}(k-1)!^{2^{n-1}}}{2^n}\\ &+ \frac{2^{(k-2)2^{n-2}}(k-1)!^{2^n}}{2^n},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n^O_{\mathcal{M}}((Z_2)^n : S) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \times \sum_{g\in(Z_2)^n} (k-1)!^{\frac{2^n}{o(g)}} \\ &= \frac{(k-1)!^{2^n} + (2^n-1)(k-1)!^{2^{n-1}}}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] N.L.Biggs and A.T.White, *Permutation Groups and Combinatoric Structure*, Cambridge University Press (1979).

[2] Edmonds.J, A combinatorial representation for polyhedral surfaces, Notices Amer. Math. Soc 7 (1960) 646.

[3] X.G.Fang, C.H. Li, J.Wang and M.Y.Xu, On cubic graphical regular representation of finite simple groups (preprint, Peking University,2001).

[4] C.D.Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica*, 1(1981),243-256.

[5] C.D.Godsil, The automorphism groups of some cubic Cayley graphs,*Europ.J.Combin.* 4(1983),25-32

[6] Jin Ho Kwak and Jaeun Lee, Enumeration of graph embeddings, *Discrete Math*, 135(1994), 129-151.

[7] V.A.Liskovets, Enumeration of non-isomorphic planar maps,*Sel. Math. Sov*, 4;4(1985), 303-323.

[8] V.A.Liskovets, A reductive technique for Enumerating non-isomorphic planar maps, *Discrete Math*, 156(1996), 197-217.

[9] V.A.Liskovets and T.R.S.Walsh, The enumeration of non-isomorphic 2-connected planar maps,*Canad.J.Math*, 35(1983), 417-435.

[10] Y.P.Liu, *Enumerative Theory of Maps*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht / Boston / London (1999).

[11] L.F. Mao and Y.P.Liu, New automorphism groups identity of trees, *Chinese advances Math*, 1(2003).

[12] L.F. Mao and Y.P.Liu, An approach for constructing 3-connected non-hamiltonian cubic map on surfaces, *Chinese OR Transactions*, 4(2001),1-7.

[13] L.F. Mao and Y.P.Liu, The number of complete maps on surfaces, *arXiv: math.GM/0607.*

[14] B.P.Mull,R.G.Rieper and A.T.White, Enumeration 2-cell imbeddings of connected graphs, *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc*, 103(1988), 321-330.

[15] B.P.Mull, Enumerating the orientable 2-cell imbeddings of complete bipartite graphs, *J.Graph Theory*, vol 30, 2(1999),77-90.

[16] S.Negami, Enumeration of projective -planar embeddings of graphs, *Discrete Math*, 62(1986), 299-306.

[17] W.T.Tutte, *Graph Theory*, Combridge university Press (2001).

[18] W.T.Tutte, What is a maps? in *New Directions in the Theory of Graphs* (ed.by F.Harary), Academic Press (1973), 309-325.
[19] A.T.White, *Graphs of Group on Surfaces- interactions and models*, Elsevier Science B.V. (2001).
[20] Xu Mingyao et al, *Introduction to finite groups (II)*, Science Press, Beijing (1999).
[21] Xu Mingyao, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math*, 182(1998), 309-319.